

CURRICULUM VITAE
STEVEN PENROD

February 20, 2019

524 West 59th Street 10.65.3
John Jay College of Criminal Justice
New York, NY 10019-1199

Useful links: google "Steven Penrod"
email:spenrod@jjay.cuny.edu
646-783-8373

EDUCATION

PhD Harvard University, 1979, Social Psychology. Dissertation: Evaluation of social scientific and traditional attorney methods of jury selection. Committee: Reid Hastie, Thomas Pettigrew, Charles Judd, and Charles Nesson
J.D. Harvard Law School, 1974. Third Year Paper: Intention and causation: A psychological critique. Advisor: Charles Fried
B.A. Yale College, 1969, Poli. Sci. Thesis Advisor: Robert Dahl

HONORS AND AWARDS

2015—European Assoc for Psychology and Law Award for Distinguished Career Contributions
2005--APA Fowler Award for Outstanding Contribution to the Professional Development of Graduate Students
2001--Distinguished Professorship, John Jay College, CUNY
1999--Award for Distinguished Contributions to Psychology and the Law—American Psychology-Law Society
1999-2000--Gallup Professorship—University of Nebraska
1994-1995--Davis Professorship in Law, Univ of Minnesota
1986--American Psychological Association Distinguished Scientific Award for an Early Career Contribution to Applied Psychology (Citation: *American Psychologist*, 42, 300-303).
1981--Second Prize American Psychological Association Division 13 Meltzer Research Award
1980--Cattell Dissertation Award, NY Academy of Sciences.
1980--Soc. for Experimental Social Psych Dissertation Award.
1980--Co-winner of Society for the Psychological Study of Social Issues Dissertation Award
Harvard University: National Science Foundation Dissertation Research Grant: Law and Social Sciences
Harvard Law School: Taft Scholarship.
Yale College: Political Science Honor Society; NSF Summer Research Grant; Griffin Scholarship, Alcoa Scholarship.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

2001- Distinguished Professor of Psychology, John Jay--CUNY
1999-2000 Gallup Professorship—University of Nebraska
1995-2001 Dir of Law and Psychology Program-Univ of NE
1995-2001 Prof of Psychology & Prof of Law-Univ of Nebraska
1994-1995 Adjunct Prof: Humphrey Institute of Public Affairs-MN
1993-1995 Dir of Grad Studies in Conflict Management-U of MN
1992-1993 Grievance Officer, University of Minnesota
1991-1995 Director, Conflict and Change Center, Univ of Minn
1990-1995 Adjunct Professor of Psychology, Univ of Minnesota
1989-1996 Professor of Law, University of Minnesota
1988-1989 Visiting Prof, Law & Psychology, Univ of Minnesota
1988-Professor of Psychology, University of Wisconsin
1986 Research Consultant, Judicial Council of California
1985-1988 Associate Prof of Psychology, Univ of Wisconsin
1985 Visiting Professor of Law, Indiana University
1983 Visiting Professor of Law, University of Wisconsin
1979-1985 Asst Professor of Psychology, Univ of Wisconsin
1975-1979 Research/Teaching Asst--Psychology, Harvard Univ
1975 (summer) Staff-Hartford Inst for Criminal & Social Justice
1974 (summer) Asst Ombudsman for Connecticut Corrections
1971-1973 Naval Judge Advocate General Corps
1970 (summer) Research Assistant, Sociology Dept, Harvard Univ

PUBLICATIONS

Google Scholar: goo.gl/W480EC
Jones, A.M., Heuer, L., & Penrod, S. & Udell, D. (2018). Perceptions of access to justice among unrepresented tenants: An examination of procedural justice and deservingness in New York City housing court. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Research and Practice*, 1-20.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/24732850.2018.1532191>
Jones, A.M., & Penrod, S. (2018). Improving the Effectiveness of the Henderson Instruction Safeguard against Unreliable Eyewitness Identification. *Psychology, Crime and Law*, 24, 177-193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2017.1390113>
Wilford, M. M., Van Horn, M. C., Penrod, S. D. & Greathouse, S. M. (2018). Not Separate but Equal? The Impact of Multiple-Defendant Trials on Juror Decision-Making. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 14-37. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2017.1351969>
Jones, A. M. & Penrod, S. D. (2017). Research-Based Instructions Induce Sensitivity to Confession Evidence. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*. 1-16.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13218719.2017.1364677>
Dillon, M. K., Jones, A. M, Bergold, A. N., Hui, C., & Penrod, S. D. (2017). Henderson instructions: Do they enhance evidence evaluation? *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, 17, 1-24.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15228932.2017.1235964>
Smith, A.M., Wells, G.W., Lindsay, R.C.L. & Penrod, S.D. (2017). Fair Lineups Are Better Than Biased Lineups and Showups, but Not Because They Increase Underlying Discriminability. *Law and Human Behavior*, 41, 127–145. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000219>
Jones, A. M., Bergold, A. N., Dillon, M. K. & Penrod, S. D. (2017). Comparing the effectiveness of Henderson instructions and expert testimony: Which safeguard improves jurors' evaluations of eyewitness evidence? *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, 1-24.
<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11292-016-9279-6>
Jones, A. M., Bergold, A. N., Dillon, M. K. & Penrod, S. D. (2016). Can Expert Testimony Sensitize Jurors to Coercive Interrogation Tactics? *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, 16, 93-409.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15228932.2016.1232029>
Zimmerman, D. M., Rodriguez, D., Bergold, A., & Penrod, S. D. (2016). The Influence of Pretrial Exposure to Community Outrage and Victim Hardship on Guilt Judgments. *Psychology, Crime, and Law*, 22, 435-454. <http://goo.gl/uUULc4>
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2015.1120870>
Tallon, J. A., Daftary-Kapur, T. & Penrod, S. (2015). Defendant Remorse and Publicity in Capital Trials: Is Seeing Truly Believing? *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 42, 1282-1302
<http://goo.gl/xk2QvD> DOI: 10.1177/0093854815602500
Jones, A. M., Jones, S. & Penrod, S. (2015). Examining Legal Authoritarianism in the Impact of Punishment Severity on Juror Decisions. *Psychology, Crime and Law*, 21, 939-951.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2015.1077244>
Daftary-Kapur, T., Penrod, S., O'Connor, M., & Wallace, B. (2014). Examining pretrial publicity in a shadow jury paradigm: Issues of slant, quantity, persistence, and generalizability. *Law*

and Human Behavior, 38, 462-477.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/hhb0000081>

Nicholson, A. S., Yarbrough, A. M. & Penrod, S. D. (2014). In G. J. N. Bruinsma, D.L. Weisburd (eds.), Jury Decision Making and Eyewitness Testimony. *Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice*, Springer: New York.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-5690-2_670

Robbennolt, J., Groscup, J. & Penrod, S. (2013). Evaluating and assisting jury competence in civil and criminal cases. In I. Weiner & R. Otto (ed.), *Handbook of Forensic Psychology (4th Ed.)*. New York: Wiley. ISBN-13: 978-1118348413 ISBN-10: 1118348419

Vredeveltdt, A. & Penrod, S. D. (2013). Eye-Closure Improves Memory for a Witnessed Event under Naturalistic Conditions. *Psychology, Crime and Law*, 19, 893-905.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1068316x.2012.700313>

Patry, M. W. & Penrod, S. D. (2013). Death penalty decisions: Instruction comprehension, attitudes, and decision mediators. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, 13, 204-244.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15228932.2013.795816>

Bornstein, B. H.; Deffenbacher, K. A.; McGorty, K. & Penrod, S. D. (2012). Effects of exposure time and cognitive operations on facial identification accuracy: A meta-analysis of two variables associated with initial memory strength. *Psychology, Crime and Law*, 18, 473-490. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1068316x.2010.508458>

Spano, L. M., Groscup, J. L. & Penrod, S. D. (2011). Pretrial publicity and the jury: Research and methods. In Wiener, R. L. & Bornstein, B. H. (Eds.), *Handbook of Trial Consulting*. NY: Springer. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-7569-0_11

Rosenfeld, B. & Penrod, S. D. (Eds.). (2011). *Research Methods in Forensic Psychology*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
<http://goo.gl/VUWub8>

Rosenfeld, B. & Penrod, S. D. (2011). Introduction. In Rosenfeld, B. & Penrod, S. D. (Eds.), *Research Methods in Forensic Psychology*. New York: John Wiley & Sons. ISBN-13: 978-0470249826 ISBN-10: 047024982X <http://goo.gl/VUWub8>

Penrod, S. D., Kovera, M. & Groscup, J. (2011). Jury research methods. In Rosenfeld, B. & Penrod, S. D. (Eds.), *Research Methods in Forensic Psychology*. New York: John Wiley & Sons. ISBN-13: 978-0470249826 ISBN-10: 047024982X <http://goo.gl/VUWub8>

Spano, L., Daftary-Kapur, T. & Penrod, S. D. (2011). Trial consulting in high publicity cases. In Rosenfeld, B. & Penrod, S. D. (Eds.), *Research Methods in Forensic Psychology*. New York: John Wiley & Sons. ISBN-13: 978-0470249826 ISBN-10: 047024982X <http://goo.gl/VUWub8>

Wells, G. & Penrod, S. D. (2011). Eyewitness Research: Strengths and Weaknesses of Alternative Methods. In Rosenfeld, B. & Penrod, S. D. (Eds.), *Research Methods in Forensic Psychology*. New York: John Wiley & Sons. ISBN-13: 978-0470249826 ISBN-10: 047024982X <http://goo.gl/VUWub8>

Kim, M. C. & Penrod, S. D. (2010). Legal decision making among Korean and American legal professionals and lay people. *International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice*, 38, 175-197.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.ijlcrj.2011.01.004>

Daftary-Kapur, T., Dumas, R. & Penrod, S.D. (2010). Jury decision-making biases and methods to counter them. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 15, 133-154.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1348/135532509x465624>

Groscup, J. & Penrod, S. D. (2009). Appellate Review and Eyewitness Experts. In Brian Cutler (Ed.), *Expert Testimony on the Psychology of Eyewitness Identification*. NY: Oxford.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195331974.003.005>

Penrod, S. & Kovera, M. B. (2009). Recent developments in North American identification science and practice. In: Bull, R., Valentine, T. & Williamson, T., (Eds.). *Handbook of Psychology of Investigative Interviewing*. Current Developments and Future Directions. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470747599.ch15>

Penrod, S. & Kurosawa, K. (2008). Eyewitness errors: Assessing their extent, causes, and solutions. *Japanese Journal of Psychology*, 7, 36-62. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5884.1996.tb00005.x>

Bornstein, B. H. & Penrod, S.D. (2008). Hugo Who? G.F. Arnold's Alternative Early Approach to Psychology and Law. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 22, 759-768.
<http://dx.doi.org.central.ezproxy.cuny.edu:2048/10.1002/acp.1480>

Deffenbacher, K. A.; Bornstein, B. H.; McGorty, K. & Penrod, S. D. (2008). Forgetting the once-seen face: Estimating the strength of an eyewitness's memory representation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 14, 139-150. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1076-898x.14.2.139>

Heuer, L., Penrod, S., & Kattan, A. (2007). The role of societal benefits and fairness concerns among decision makers and decision recipients. *Law and Human Behavior*, 31, 573-610.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10979-006-9084-2>

Penrod, S. D. & Bornstein, B. H. (2007). Generalizing Eyewitness Reliability Research. In R.C.L. Lindsay, D. Ross, D Read & M. Toglia, (Eds.), *Handbook of eyewitness psychology (Vol. II): Memory for people*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum. ISBN 1317777832 <https://goo.gl/B7K4QP>

Van Wallendael, L.R., Devenport, J., Cutler, B.L. & Penrod, S. (2007). Mistaken Identification=Erroneous Convictions? Assessing and Improving Legal Safeguards. In R.C.L. Lindsay, D. Ross, D Read & M. Toglia, (Eds.), *Handbook of eyewitness psychology (Vol. II): Memory for people*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum. ISBN 1317777832 <https://goo.gl/B7K4QP>

Wells, G. L., Memon, A. & Penrod, S. D. (2006). Eyewitness Evidence: Improving its Probative Value. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 7, 45-75. <https://goo.gl/oaGPLf>

Deffenbacher, K. A.; Bornstein, B. H.; & Penrod, S. D. (2006). Mugshot exposure effects: retroactive interference, mugshot commitment, source confusion, and unconscious transference. *Law and Human Behavior*, 30, 287-307.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10979-006-9008-1>

Robbennolt, J., Groscup, J. & Penrod, S. (2006). Evaluating and assisting jury competence in civil and criminal cases. In I. Weiner & A. Hess (ed.), *Handbook of Forensic Psychology (3rd Ed.)*. New York: Wiley.

Doyle, J. M., Penrod, S., Kovera, M. B. & Dysart, J. (2006). The street, the lab, the courtroom, the meeting room. *Public Interest Law Reporter*, 11, 13-16, 31, 46.

Studebaker, C. A. & Penrod, S. D. (2005). Pretrial publicity and its influence on juror decision making. In N. Brewer & K. D. Williams, *Psychology and Law: An Empirical Perspective*. New York: Guilford.

Penrod, S. (2005). Eyewitnesses. In L. E. Sullivan & M. S. Rosen (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Law Enforcement, Vol. 1*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Penrod, S. (2005). Lineups. In L. E. Sullivan & M. S. Rosen (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Law Enforcement, Vol. 1*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Deffenbacher, K. A.; Bornstein, B. H.; Penrod, S. D. & McGorty, K.

- (2004). A meta-analytic review of the effects of high stress on eyewitness memory. *Law and Human Behavior*, 28, 687-706. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10979-004-0565-x>
- O'Neil, K.M., Patry, M. W., & Penrod, S. D. (2004). Exploring the effects of attitudes toward the death penalty on capital sentencing verdicts. *Psychology, Public Policy and Law*, 10, 443-470. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1076-8971.10.4.443>
- Hollin, C., van Koppen, P., Penrod, S. (2004). Editorial. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 10, 1-3.
- The nature and scope of the problem of sexual abuse of minors by catholic priests and deacons in the United States: The John Jay Study (2004). <http://goo.gl/Fc7jPN>
- Groscup, J.L. & Penrod, S. D. (2003). Battle of the standards for experts in criminal cases: police vs. psychologists. *Seton Hall Law Review*, 33, 1141-1165. <http://scholarship.shu.edu/shlr/vol33/iss4/10>
- O'Neil, K.M., Penrod, S. D., & Bornstein, B. H. (2003). Web-based research: methodological variables' effects on dropout and sample characteristics. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, and Computers*, 35, 217-236. <http://goo.gl/gPOZ5z>
- McAuliff, B. D., Nemeth, R. J., Bornstein, B. H., Penrod, S.D. (2003). Juror decision-making in the 21st century: confronting science and technology in court. In D. Carson & R. Bull (Eds.), *Handbook of psychology in legal contexts* (2nd ed.). New York: Wiley ISBN-13: 978-1441975683
- Penrod, S. (2003). Eyewitness identification evidence: how well are witnesses and police performing? *Criminal Justice Magazine, Spring*, 36-47, 54.
- Heuer, L., Penrod, S. Hafer, C. & Cohn, I. (2002). The role of resource and relational concerns for procedural justice. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28, 1468-1482.
- van Koppen, P.J., & Penrod, S.D. (Eds.). (2003). *Adversarial versus inquisitorial justice: Psychological perspectives on criminal justice systems*. New York: Plenum. ISBN: 978-1-4613-4832-0 (Print) 978-1-4419-9196-6 (Online)
- van Koppen, P.J., & Penrod, S.D. (2003). Adversarial or Inquisitorial: Comparing Systems. In van Koppen, P.J., & Penrod, S.D. (Eds.). *Adversarial versus inquisitorial justice: Psychological perspectives on criminal justice systems*. New York: Plenum.
- van Koppen, P.J., & Penrod, S.D. (2003). The John Wayne and Judge Dee Versions of Justice. In van Koppen, P.J., & Penrod, S.D. (Eds.). *Adversarial versus inquisitorial justice: Psychological perspectives on criminal justice systems*. New York: Plenum.
- Groscup, J. L.; Penrod, S. D.; Studebaker, C. A.; Huss, M. T.; O'Neil, K. M. (2002). The effects of Daubert on the admissibility of expert testimony in state and federal criminal cases. *Psychology, Public Policy, & Law*, 8, 339-372.
- Stolle, D. P, Robbennolt, J. K, Patry, M. & Penrod, S.D. Fractional Factorial Designs for Legal Psychology. (2002). *Behavioral Sciences and Law*. 20, 5-17. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bsl.475>
- Greene, E., Chopra, S., Kovera, M. B., Penrod, S., Rose, V. G., Schuller, R., & Studebaker, C. (2002). Jurors and juries: A review of the field. In J. R. P. Ogloff, Ed. *Taking Psychology and Law into the Twenty First Century*. New York: Kluwer.
- Studebaker, C. A., Robbennolt, J. K., Penrod, S. D., Pathak-Sharma, M. K., Groscup, J. L. and Devenport, J. L. (2002). Studying pretrial publicity effects: New methods for improving ecological validity and testing external validity. *Law and Human Behavior*, 26, 19-41. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1013877108082>
- O'Neil, K. M.; Penrod, S. D. (2001). Methodological variables in Web-based research that may affect results: Sample type, monetary incentives, and personal information. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments & Computers*. 33, 226-233. <http://link.springer.com/article/10.3758%2FBF03195369>
- Studebaker, C. A., Robbennolt, J. K., Pathak-Sharma, M. K., & Penrod S. D. (2000). Assessing Pretrial Publicity Effects: Integrating Content Analytic Results. *Law and Human Behavior*, 24, 317-336. Reprinted in Hans, V. (2006). *The Jury System: Contemporary Research*, Ashgate. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1005536204923>
- Vidmar, N., Lempert, R. O., Diamond, S. S., Hans, V. P., Landsman, S., MacCoun, R., Sanders, J., Hosch, H. M., Kassir, S., Galanter, M., Eisenberg, T., Daniels, S., Greene, E., Martin, J., Penrod, S., Richardson, J., Heuer, L., Horowitz, I. (2000). Amicus brief: *Kumho Tire v. Carmichael*. *Law & Human Behavior*. 24, 387-400
- Studebaker, C., Devenport, J. & Penrod, S. (1999). Perspectives on Jury Decisionmaking: Cases with Pretrial Publicity and Cases Based on Eyewitness Identifications. In F. T. Durso & R. S. Nickerson (eds.), *Handbook of Applied Cognition*. New York: Wiley.
- Penrod, S. & Cutler, B.L. (1999). The case against traditional safeguards: Preventing mistaken convictions in eyewitness identification trials. In R. Roesch & S. Hart, *Psychology and Law: State of the Discipline*. Plenum: New York.
- Robbennolt, J., Penrod, S. & Heuer, L. (1999). Assessing and Aiding Jury Competence. In I. Weiner & A. Hess (ed.), *Handbook of Forensic Psychology (2nd Ed.)*. New York: Wiley.
- Penrod, S. & Heuer, L.B. (1998). Improving group performance: The case of the jury. In R. S. Tindale, L. Heath, J. Edwards, E. J. Posavac, F. B. Bryant, Y. Suarez-Balacazar, E. Henderson-King & J. Meyers, *Theory and Research on Small Groups*. Plenum: New York.
- Wells, G.L., Small, M., Penrod, S., Malpass, R. S., Fulero, S. M. & Brimacombe, C. A. E. (1998). Eyewitness identification procedures: Recommendations for lineups and photospreads. *Law and Human Behavior*, 22, 603-647. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/a:1025750605807>
- Dexter, H.R., Penrod, S. D., Linz, D. & Saunders, D. (1997). Attributing responsibility to female victims after exposure to sexually violent films. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 27, 2149-2171.
- Penrod, S. & Heuer, L.B. (1997). Tweaking commonsense: Assessing Aids to Jury Decision Making. *Psychology, Public Policy & Law*, 3, 259-284.
- Devenport, J. L., Penrod, S. D., & Cutler, B. L. (1997). Eyewitness identification evidence: Evaluating commonsense evaluations. *Psychology, Public Policy & Law*, 3, 338-361.
- Studebaker, C. A & Penrod, S. D. (1997). Pretrial publicity: The media, the law and common sense. *Psychology, Public Policy & Law*, 3, 428-460.
- Kafka, C., Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., & Penrod, S. (1997). Women's reactions to sexually aggressive mass media depictions. *Violence Against Women*, 3, 149-181.
- Kovera, M., Penrod, S.D., Pappas, C. & Thill, D. (1997). Identification of computer-generated facial composites *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 82, 235-246.
- Heuer, L.B. & Penrod, S. (1996). Increasing juror participation in trials through notetaking and question asking. *Judicature*, 79, 256-262.

- Smith, B., Penrod, S.D., Park, R., & Otto, A. (1996). Jurors' use of probabilistic evidence. *Law and Human Behavior, 20*, 49-82.
- Narby, D.J., Cutler, B.L., & Penrod, S. (1996). The effects of witness, target, and situational factors on eyewitness identifications. In Sporer, S.L., Malpass, R. & Koehnken, G. (Eds.). *Psychological Issues in Eyewitness Identification*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Penrod, S.D., Fulero, S. & Cutler, B. (1995). Expert psychological testimony on eyewitness reliability before and after *Daubert*. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law 13*, 229-260.
- Penrod, S. & Cutler, B. (1995). Witness confidence and witness accuracy: Assessing their forensic relation. *Psychology, Public Policy & Law, 1*, 817-845. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1076-8971.1.4.817>
- Sporer, S., Penrod, S.D., Read, D. & Cutler, B.L. (1995). Gaining confidence in confidence: A new meta-analysis on the confidence-accuracy relationship in eyewitness identification studies. *Psychological Bulletin, 118*, 315-327. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.118.3.315>
- Cutler, B.L. & Penrod, S.D. (1995). *Mistaken Identifications: the Eyewitness, Psychology, and Law*. New York: Cambridge University Press. ISBN-13: 978-0521445726 ISBN-10: 0521445728
- Heuer, L.B. & Penrod, S. (1995). Jury decisionmaking in complex trials. In R. Bull & D. Carson (Eds.), *Handbook of Psychology in Legal Contexts*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Cutler, B.L. & Penrod, S. (1995). Assessing the accuracy of eyewitness identifications. In R. Bull & D. Carson (Eds.), *Handbook of Psychology in Legal Contexts*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Penrod, S.D., Fulero, S. & Cutler, B. (1995). Expert psychological testimony in the United States: A new playing field? *European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 11*, 65-72.
- Penrod, S. (1994). The psychology of criminal justice. *Contemporary Psychology, 39*, 897-898.
- Heuer, L.B. & Penrod, S.D. (1994). Predicting the outcomes of disputes: Consequences for disputant reactions to procedures and outcomes. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 24*, 260-283.
- Otto, A. L., Penrod, S. & Dexter, H. (1994). The biasing impact of pretrial publicity on juror judgments. *Law and Human Behavior, 18*, 453-470.
- Heuer, L.B. & Penrod, S. (1994). Juror notetaking and question asking during trials: A national field experiment. *Law and Human Behavior, 18*, 121-150.
- Kovera, M.B., Levy, R.J., Borgida, E. & Penrod, S.D. (1994). Expert testimony in child sexual abuse cases: Effects of expert evidence type and cross-examination. *Law and Human Behavior, 18*, 653- 674.
- Heuer, L.B. & Penrod, S. (1994). Trial complexity: A field investigation of its meaning and its effects. *Law and Human Behavior, 18*, 29-52.
- Cutler, B.L., Berman, G.L., Penrod, S.D., & Fisher, R.P. (1994). Conceptual, practical and empirical issues associated with eyewitness identification test media. In Ross, D., Read, J.D. & Toglia, M., (Eds.). *Adult Eyewitness Testimony: Current Trends and Developments*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Penrod, S. (1993). The child witness, the courts, and psychological research. *Minnesota Nelson, C. (Ed.). Memory and Affect in Development: Symposia on Child Psychology, Vol. 26*. Hilldale, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- Penrod, S. & Cutler, B.L. (1992). Eyewitnesses, experts, and jurors: Improving the quality of jury decision-making in eyewitness cases. In J. Misumi, B. Wilpert, & H. Motoaki (Eds.), *Organizational and Work Psychology*. Hilldale, N.J.; Erlbaum.
- Kovera, M.B., Park, R.C., & Penrod, S. (1992). Jurors' perceptions of eyewitness and hearsay evidence. *University of Minnesota Law Review, 76*, 703-722.
- Linz, D. & Penrod, S.D. (1992). Exploring the First and Sixth Amendments: Pretrial publicity and jury decisionmaking. In Kagehiro, D. K. & Laufer, W. S. (Eds.). *Handbook of Psychology and Law*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Olczak, P. V., Kaplan, M. F., & Penrod, S.D. (1991). Attorney's lay psychology and its effectiveness in selecting jurors: Three empirical studies. *Journal of Social Behavior and Personality, 6*, 431-452.
- Cutler, B.L., Penrod, S.D., & Dexter, H.R. (1990). Nonadversarial methods for sensitizing jurors to eyewitness evidence. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 20*, 1197-1207.
- Penrod, S.D. (1990). Predictors of jury decisionmaking in criminal and civil cases: A field experiment. *Forensic Reports, 3*, 261-277.
- Fulero, S.M. & Penrod, S.D. (1990). The myths and realities of attorney jury selection folklore and scientific jury selection: What works? *Ohio Northern University Law Review, XVII*, 229-253.
- Cutler, B.L., & Penrod, S.D. (1990). Estimator Variables and Eyewitness Identification. In G. Kohnken and S.L. Sporer (Eds.), *Eyewitness Identification: Psychological Knowledge, Problems, and Perspectives*. Verlag fur Angewandte Psychologie: Stuttgart. (In German).
- Heuer, L., & Penrod, S. D. (1990). Some suggestions for the critical appraisal of a more active jury. *Northwestern Law Review, 85*, 301- 314.
- Fulero, S.M., & Penrod, S.D. (1990). Attorney jury selection folklore: What do they think and how can psychologists help? *Forensic Reports, 3*, 233-259.
- Cutler, B.L., Dexter, H.R., & Penrod, S.D. (1990). Juror sensitivity to eyewitness identification evidence. *Law and Human Behavior, 14*, 185-191.
- Tanford, S. & Penrod, S. (1990). Las deliberaciones del jurado: Contenido de la discusion y procesos de influencia en la toma de decisiones de un jurado. *Jury deliberations: Discussion contents and processes influencing a jury's decision making. Estudios de Psicologia, 42*, 75-98
- Penrod, S.D. & Cutler, B.L. (1989). Eyewitness expert testimony and jury decisionmaking. *Law and Contemporary Problems, 52*, 1001-1041. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1191907>
- Heuer, L.B., & Penrod, S.D. (1989). Instructing jurors: A field experiment with written and preliminary instructions. *Law and Human Behavior, 13*, 409-430.
- Penrod, S., Bull, M., and Lengnick, S. (1989). Children as observers and witnesses: The empirical data. *Family Law Quarterly, XXIII*, 411-431.
- O'Rourke, T., Penrod, S.D., Cutler, B.L., & Stuve, T.E. (1989). The external validity of eyewitness identification research: Generalizing across age groups. *Law and Human Behavior, 13*, 385-396.
- Cutler, B.L. & Penrod, S.D. (1989). Forensically relevant moderators of the relation between eyewitness identification accuracy and confidence. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 74*, 650-653.

- Heuer, L.B. & Penrod, S.D. (1989). Trial lawyers in the box? Jurors question witnesses. *The Docket*.
- Cutler, B.L., Penrod, S.D., & Dexter, H.R. (1989). The eyewitness, the expert psychologist, and the jury. *Law and Human Behavior*, 13, 311-332.
- Penrod, S. (1989). Shedding empirical light on legal controversies. *Contemporary Psychology*, 34, 738-739.
- Cutler, B.L., Dexter, H.R., & Penrod, S.D. (1989). Expert testimony and jury decision making: An empirical analysis. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 7, 215-225.
- Cutler, B.L., & Penrod, S.D. (1989). Moderators of the confidence-accuracy correlation in eyewitness identifications: The role of information processing and base-rates. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 3, 95-107.
- Linz, D., Penrod, S., & Donnerstein, E. (1988). Effects of long-term exposure to violent and sexually degrading depictions of women. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 55, 758-768.
- Penrod, S. D. (1988). Psychology in court: The child witness. *Contemporary Psychology*, 33, 655-657.
- Sharp, G.L., Cutler, B.L., & Penrod, S.D. (1988). Performance feedback improves the resolution of confidence judgments. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 42, 271-283.
- Cutler, B. L., Stocklein, C. J., & Penrod, S. D. (1988). An empirical examination of a computerized facial composite production system. *Forensic Reports*, 1, 207-218.
- Cutler, B. L., Penrod, S. D., & Schmolesky, J. M. (1988). Presumption instructions and juror decision making. *Forensic Reports*, 1, 165-192.
- Heuer, L. B., & Penrod, S. D. (1988). Increasing jurors' participation in trials: A field experiment with jury notetaking and question asking. *Law and Human Behavior*, 12, 231-262.
- Cutler, B. & Penrod, S. (1988). Context reinstatement and eyewitness identification. In G. Davies & D. Thomson (Eds.), *Memory in Context: Context in Memory*. Chichester: Wiley. pp. 231-244.
- Cutler, B. L., & Penrod, S. D. (1988). Improving the reliability of eyewitness identification: Lineup construction and presentation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 73, 281-290.
- Cutler, B. L., Penrod, S. D., & Stuve, T. E. (1988). Juror decisionmaking in eyewitness identification cases. *Law and Human Behavior*, 12, 41-55.
- Spear, P. Penrod, S., & Baker, T. (1988). *Psychology*. New York: Wiley.
- Cutler, B.L., Penrod, S.D., & Martens, T.K. (1987). Improving the reliability of eyewitness identifications: Putting context into context. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 72, 629-637.
- Penrod, S. D. (1987). Covert facilitation: A necessary adjunct to overt facilitation? *Journal of Social Issues*, 43, 71-78. DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-4560.1987.tb02348.x
- Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., Penrod, S. D. (1987). The findings and recommendations of the Attorney General's Commission on pornography: Do the psychological "facts" fit the political fury? *American Psychologist*, 42, 946-953.
- Cutler, B. L., Penrod, S. D., & Martens, T. K. (1987). The reliability of eyewitness identifications: The Role of System and Estimator Variables. *Law and Human Behavior*, 11, 233-258.
- Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., & Penrod, S. (1987). The Attorney General's Commission on Pornography: The gaps between "findings" and facts. *American Bar Foundation Research Journal*, 1987, 713-736.
- Donnerstein, E., Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1987). *The Question of Pornography: Scientific Findings and Policy Implications*. New York: Free Press.
- Penrod, S. D., & Cutler, B. L. (1987). Assessing the competency of juries. In I. Weiner & A. Hess (Eds.), *The Handbook of Forensic Psychology*. New York: Wiley.
- Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., & Penrod, S. (1987). Sexual violence in the mass media: Social psychological implications. P. Shaver & C. Hendrick (Eds.), *Research in Personality and Social Psychology (Vol. 7)*. Beverly Hills, CA.: Sage.
- Linz, D., Penrod, S., & Donnerstein, E. (1986). Issues bearing on the legal regulation of violent and sexually violent media. *Journal of Social Issues*, 42, 171-193.
- Cutler, B. L., Penrod, S. D., O'Rourke, T. E., & Martens, T. K. (1986). Unconfounding the effects of contextual cues on eyewitness identification accuracy. *Social Behavior*, 1, 113-134.
- Heuer, L. & Penrod, S. (1986). Procedural preference as a function of conflict intensity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 700-710.
- Shapiro, P. & Penrod, S. (1986). A meta-analysis of facial identification studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, 100, 139-156. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037//0033-2909.100.2.139>
- Tanford, S. & Penrod, S. (1986). Jury deliberations: Discussion content and influence processes in jury decision making. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 16, 322-347.
- Linz, D., Penrod, S., & McDonald, E. (1986). Attorney communications in the courtroom: Views from and off the bench. *Law and Human Behavior*, 10, 281-302.
- Penrod, S. (1986). *Social psychology*. 2nd Ed. New York: Prentice-Hall.
- Pavitt, C. & Penrod, S. (1986). A test of two implicit assumptions of algebraic modeling: Saliency and familiarity effects in affective evaluations of national leaders. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 126, 291-304.
- Penrod, S. (1985). The growth of social science in the law. *Contemporary Psychology*, 30, 959-960.
- Donnerstein, E., Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1985). Massive exposure to media violence and desensitization. *Aggressive Behavior*, 11, 161 (abstract).
- Tanford, S., Penrod, S., & Collins, R. (1985). Decisionmaking in joined criminal trials: The influence of charge similarity, evidence similarity, and limiting instructions. *Law and Human Behavior*, 9, 319-337.
- Krafka, C., & Penrod, S. (1985). Reinstatement of context in a field experiment on eyewitness identification. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 49, 58-69.
- Penrod, S., & Linz, D. (1985). Voir dire: Its uses and abuses. In M. Kaplan, (Ed.), *The impact of social psychology on procedural justice*. Springfield, IL: C. C. Thomas.
- Tanford, S., & Penrod S. (1984). Social Influence Model: A formal integration of research on majority and minority influence processes. *Psychological Bulletin*, 95, 189-225.
- Tanford, S., & Penrod, S. (1984). Social inference processes in juror judgments of multiple-offense trials. *Journal of Personality*

and *Social Psychology*, 47, 749-765.

Linz, D., & Penrod, S. (1984). Increasing attorney persuasiveness in the courtroom. *Law and Psychology Review*, 8, 1-47.

Linz, D., Donnerstein, E., & Penrod, S. (1984). The effects of long-term exposure to filmed violence against women. *Journal of Communication*, 34, 130-147. Reprinted in *Media in Society: Readings in Mass Communication*, Deming & Becker (Eds.), 1988.

Penrod, S., & Linz, D. (1984). Using psychological research on violent pornography to inform legal change. In N. Malamuth & E. Donnerstein (Eds.), *Pornography and sexual aggression*. New York: Academic Press.

Penrod, S., Coates, D., Linz, D., Herzberg, S. Atkinson, M., & Heuer, L. (1984). The implications of social science research for trial practice attorneys. In D. J. Muller, D. G. Blackman, & A. J. Chapman (Eds.), *Perspectives in psychology and law*. London: Wiley.

Linz, D., Turner, C., Hesse, B., & Penrod, S. (1984). Using psychological research on violent pornography in civil litigation. In N. Malamuth & Donnerstein (Eds.), *Pornography and sexual aggression*. New York: Academic Press.

Penrod, S., & Borgida, E. (1983). Legal rules and lay inference. In L. Wheeler (Ed.), *Review of personality and social psychology (Vol. 4)*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

Tanford, S., & Penrod, S. (1983). Computer modeling of influence in the jury: The role of the consistent juror. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 46, 200-212.

Hastie, R., Penrod, S., & Pennington, N. (1983). *Inside the jury*. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.

Penrod, S. (1983). Jury decision making models. In H. H. Blumberg, P. Hare, V. Kent, & M. Davies (Eds.), *Small groups and social interaction (Vol. 2)*. Chichester, England: Wiley.

Hastie, R., Penrod, S., & Pennington, N. (1983). What goes on in a jury deliberation. *American Bar Association Journal*, 69, 1848-1853.

Penrod, S. (1983). *Social psychology*. New York: Prentice-Hall.

Tanford, S., & Penrod, S. (1982). Biases in trials involving defendants charged with multiple offenses. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 12, 453-470.

Penrod, S.D., Donnerstein, E., & Linz, D. (1982). Scientific research on pornography and violence: implications for American law. *Bulletin of the British Psychological Society*, 35, 100 (abstract).

Penrod, S., Loftus, E. F., & Winkler, J. (1982). The reliability of eyewitness testimony: A psychological perspective. In N. Kerr & R. Bray (Eds.), *The psychology of the courtroom*. New York: Academic Press.

Coates, D., & Penrod, S. (1981-82). The social psychology of dispute resolution. *Law and Society Review*, 15, 701-726.

Penrod, S., & Hastie, R. (1980). A computer simulation of jury decision making. *Psychological Review*, 87, 133-159.

Penrod, S., & Hastie, R. (1979). Models of jury decision-making: A critical review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 86, 462-492.

RESEARCH AND PROGRAM GRANTS

National Science Foundation. Building Tools for Assessing the State of Eyewitness Science (\$199,817, 5/1/18-10/31/19).

National Science Foundation. The responsibility of judges to assure due process: Tension among neutrality, rights protection, and role (\$193,796, 07/15-06/17). With Larry Heuer.

National Science Foundation. Issue-Specific Jury Instructions. (\$194,262, 09/12-08/15).

National Science Foundation. Factors influencing plea bargaining decisions by prosecutors and defense attorneys. (\$93,200, 09/09-08/11).

National Science Foundation. Understanding the Impact on Juries Of Defense Responses To Victim Impact Statements. (\$245,813—8/08-6/11).

National Science Foundation, Field and Lab Studies of the Effects of Pretrial Publicity on Jurors' Trial Judgments. (\$275,000, 8/1/06-7/31/09).

National Science Foundation, Eyewitness Guessing and Accuracy: Subjective Experience and Objective Determinants (\$212,836, 9/1/2004-8/31/07) With Lisette Garcia.

National Science Foundation, Reducing Eyewitness Identification Errors: Procedural Strategies, (\$298,398, 7/15/03-1/15/06).

National Science Foundation, Risk Management and Juries: How Jurors React to Cost-Benefit Analyses. (\$260,000, 2/02-2/05). With Kevin O'Neil.

National Science Foundation, Meta-Analysis of Facial Identification Research: A Reappraisal (\$140,669, 5/01-4/03). With Brian Bornstein.

National Science Foundation, A Continuing Empirical Analysis of the Admissibility of Expert Testimony: Investigating the Effects of *Kumho Tire v. Carmichael*. (\$102,307, 01/15/00 - 09/15/02).

CUNY Research Foundation, Sequential vs. Serial Lineup Identification Procedures. (\$4800, 03/01/2002-06/30/2003)

National Science Foundation, How Expert Are Factfinders? Evaluating the Reliability of Interviews in Child Sexual Abuse Cases (\$77,309, 09/01/99 - 05/31/01). With Nancy Walker.

National Institute of Mental Health, Training Grant in Mental Health Policy and Research (\$620,000, 7/1/99-6/30/01).

National Science Foundation, The Death Equation: Decisionmaking in Death Penalty Cases (\$172,021, Aug 1998-Feb 2001).

National Science Foundation, A Scientific Examination of the Admissibility of Scientific Expert Testimony Under *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals*. (\$78,000, Sept 1997-March 1999).

Hewlett Foundation, *Center for Conflict and Change*, (\$125,000, July 1994-June 1996).

National Science Foundation, *Meta-Analysis of Jury Decisionmaking Studies*, (\$65,456, August 1993-March 1996).

Hewlett Foundation, *Center for Conflict and Change*, (\$200,000, November 1991-October 1993).

National Science Foundation (with Eugene Borgida), *Cameras in the Courtroom: A Field Experiment* (\$150,000, July 1990-June 1992).

State Justice Institute (with American Judicature Society and Larry Heuer), *Assessing the Impact of Juror Notetaking and Question-asking on Juror Performance: A National Experiment* (\$111,201, November 1988-May 1990).

National Science Foundation (with Daniel Linz), *Pretrial Mass Media Exposure and Jury Decisionmaking* (\$135,000, July 1988-March 1991).

National Institute of Mental Health (with Daniel Linz and Edward Donnerstein), *Sexual Violence in the Media: Mental Health Implications* (\$350,824, July 1986-July 1989).

National Science Foundation, *Assessing and Calibrating Juror Sensitivity to Eyewitness Evidence* (\$131,290, Sept 1984-Feb 1988)

National Institute of Justice, *Improving Eyewitness Performance* (\$119,767, March 1984-September 1986).

National Science Foundation (with Edward Donnerstein). *Effects of Long-term Exposure to Sexually Violent Images*. (\$202,503, June 1983-May 1986).

National Institute of Justice, *Guidelines for Joinder in Criminal Cases* (\$117,000, September 1981-January 1984).

National Institute of Health, *Social Cognition and Patient-Physician Communication* (\$98,003, January 1981-July 1983).

National Science Foundation (Joint Funding from Law & Social Sciences & Social and Developmental) *Empirically Based Models of Juror and Jury Decision Making*. (\$76,549, January 1981-December 1983).

National Institute of Justice, 1981-1982, (with Dan Coates). *The Implications of Social Science Research for Criminal Trial Advocacy* (\$203,045, January 1981-July 1983).

National Institute of Justice, *Validation of a Measure of Assaultive Risk*. Principal Investigator/Advisor on Dissertation Research by Marlowe Embree. (\$10,500, 1981-1982).

National Science Foundation, *Evidence in Civil Commitment Cases*. Faculty Advisor on Student Originated Study with Terri Finesmith. (\$5,828, Summer 1981).

University of Nebraska Visiting Scholar Grant (John Michon). 1996. \$795.

University of Minnesota Graduate School, 1991-1992, *External Validity of Jury Research*, (\$9,056).

University of Minnesota Graduate School, 1990-1991, *Juror Decisions in Joined Trials*, (\$10,000).

University of Minnesota Graduate School, 1989-1990, *Legal Decisionmaking*, (\$10,000).

University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Fund, 1986-1987, *Legal Decisionmaking* (\$2,730).

University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Fund, 1984-1985, *Modeling Social Influence Processes*. (\$7,959).

University of Wisconsin Bio-Medical Research Fund, 1984-1985, *Physiological Desensitization from Exposure to Media Violence*. (\$5,000).

University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Fund, 1983-1984, *Effects of Exposure to Sexually Violent Images*. (\$2,600).

University of Wisconsin Bio-Medical Research Fund, 1983-1984, *An Inoculation Procedure for Exposure to Violent Media Portrayals*. (\$2,600).

University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Fund, 1982-1983, *Eyewitness Reliability: Closing the Generalization Gap*. (\$5,922).

University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Fund, 1981-1982, *Script- Based Inferencing and Decision Making*. (\$10,229).

University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Fund, 1980-1981, *Models of Jury Decision Making*. (\$5,964).

University of Wisconsin Bio-Medical Research Fund, 1980-1981,

Social Cognition and Patient-Physician Communication. (\$7,300).

University of Wisconsin Bio-Medical Research Fund, 1979-1980, *Cognitive Models of Symptoms and Diseases*. (\$5,000).

Wisconsin Graduate Research Committee, General Research Support, 1979-1980.

National Science Foundation (Law & Social Sciences) Dissertation Research Award 1979, *Evaluation of Traditional and 'Scientific' Jury Selection Methods*. (\$5,790).

CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS (2008-present)

Modjadidi, K., Khogali, M., & Penrod, S. D. (March 2017) Evaluating the Effect of Eyewitness Aids on Laypersons Through a Plea-Bargain Paradigm. American Psychology-Law Society, Seattle.

Rodriguez, D. Hanson, M., Berry, M., Rhead, L. Lawson, V.Z. & Penrod, S.D. (March 2017). Undisclosed and Disclosed Backloading in Sequential, Simultaneous, and Modified Simultaneous Lineups. . American Psychology-Law Society, Seattle.

Lee, J., Khogali, M., Band, S. & Penrod, S. D. (March 2017). The Effect of Own-Race and Interracial Crimes on People's Judgments in Criminal Cases. American Psychology-Law Society, Seattle.

Jones, A., Heuer, L. B. & Penrod, S.D. (March 2017). Does Good (Bad) Behavior Deserve Good (Bad) Treatment? A Test of the Justice Matching Hypothesis. American Psychology-Law Society, Seattle.

Modjadidi, K., Khogali, M., & Penrod, S. D. (July 2016). Jury aids in plea-bargaining: Are attorneys sensitive to eyewitness evidence with aid when making plea-bargaining decisions? European Assn of Psychology and Law, Toulouse.

Jones, A., Heuer, L. & Penrod, S. D. (July 2016). The responsibility of judges to assure due process: Assessing antecedents of procedural and distributive justice in New York City Housing Court. European Assn of Psychology and Law, Toulouse.

Penrod, S. (July 2016). Wagenaar Symposium - Face recognition in forensic settings—chair. European Assn of Psychology and Law, Toulouse.

Bergold, A. & Penrod, S. D. (March 2016). Can Closing Arguments Help Jurors' Evaluate Eyewitness Evidence? American Psychology-Law Society, Atlanta.

Penrod, S. & Smith, A. (August 2015). Memory Quality, Guessing and Bias in Lineups: Their Intersecting Influences on Eyewitness Performance. European Assn of Psychology and Law, Nuremberg.

Jones, A.M., & Penrod, S. (May 2015). Jurors' compliance and Henderson style judicial instructions influence evaluations of confession evidence. Association for Psychological Science, New York, New York.

Jones, A. Y., Bergold, A., Berman, M. & Penrod, S. (March 2015) Sensitizing Jurors to Factors Influencing the Accuracy of Eyewitness Identification: Assessing the Effectiveness of the Henderson Instructions. American Psychology-Law Society, San Diego.

Berman, M. & Penrod, S. (March 2015) The Roles of Evidence Evaluation and Case-Specific Judicial Instructions in Eyewitness Identification Cases. American Psychology-Law Society, San

Diego.

Sivasubramaniam, D., Heuer, L., Penrod, S. & Davies, L. (March 2015) "Acting Fairly": Do Instructions to Engage in Procedural Justice Prompt Distributive Justice? American Psychology-Law Society, San Diego.

Yarbrough, A., & Penrod, S. (2014, March). Can expert testimony sensitize jurors to the coerciveness of interrogation tactics? American Psychology-Law Society, New Orleans.

Nicholson, A.S., Yarbrough, A., Berman, M., Hui, C., & Penrod, S. (2014, March). Helping jurors understand eyewitness identifications: Deliberations and judicial instructions. American Psychology-Law Society, New Orleans.

Berman, M., Yarbrough, A., Nicholson, A.S., Hui, C., & Penrod, S. (2014, March). Do issue-specific judicial instructions sensitize jurors to eyewitness identification accuracy? American Psychology-Law Society, New Orleans.

Hui, C., Yarbrough, A., Berman, M., Nicholson, A.S., & Penrod, S. (2014, March). Intuitive or informed decision makers? The impact of probative value of evidence on coherence-based reasoning in juror decision making. American Psychology-Law Society, New Orleans.

Penrod, S. (Dec 2013). National Academy of Sciences Committee on Scientific Approaches to Understanding and Maximizing the Validity and Reliability of Eyewitness Identification in Law Enforcement and the Court.

Daftary-Kapur, T. & Penrod, S. (September 2013). Assessing the impact of research on the formation of a scientific consensus concerning eyewitness research findings: A longitudinal analysis. EAPL, Coventry.

Berman, M., Nicholson, A., Yarbrough, A., Hui, C., & Penrod, S. (June 2013). Issue-specific judicial instructions and expert testimony in eyewitness cases. Society for Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

Hans, V., Ivkovich, S., Fukurai, H., Jaihyun, J., & Penrod, S. (Mar 2013). Global Perspectives on Lay Participation in Legal Decisions. APLS, Portland, OR.

Daftary-Kapur, T., Tallon, J. A. & Penrod, S. (Mar 2013). Influence of pre-trial publicity on verdicts in a trial simulation: Issues of generalizability and type. APLS, Portland, OR.

Berman, M. K. & Penrod, S. (Mar 2013). Mistaken eyewitness identification: A meta-analytic review of the efficacy of the judicial instruction safeguard. APLS, Portland, OR.

Tallon, J. A., Daftary-Kapur, T. & Penrod, S. (Mar 2013). Remorse and PTP in capital trials: Is seeing truly believing? APLS, Portland, OR.

Penrod, S. & Heuer, L. B. (December 2012). American Courts on Eyewitness Research. International Investigative Psychology. London.

Daftary-Kapur, T. & Penrod, S. (November 2012). An examination of the effects of post-verdict publicity on juror decision-making. ASC, Chicago.

Yarbrough, A., Nicholson, A., & Penrod, S. (2012, June). Significant eyewitness cases and the shifting focus of the courts. Paper presentation at the Tenth Biennial International Conference, New York, NY.

Beaudry, J., Crocker, C. O. & Penrod, S. D. (March 2012). Prosecutor and Defense Attorneys' Perceptions of Video-Recorded Eyewitness Identifications. APLS, San Juan.

Penrod, S. (March 2012). Bringing Eyewitness Science to the

Courts. APLS, San Juan.

Penrod, S. (March 2012). Panel Chair: Lineup Administration: Challenges and Reforms. APLS, San Juan.

Tallon, J. A., Daftary-Kapur, T., Groscup, J., & Penrod, S. (March 2012). Examining Individual Differences in Jurors' Use of Affectively Laden Evidence. APLS, San Juan.

Vredevelde, A. & Penrod, S. (March 2012). Eye-closure improves free recall of a live event: Context versus distraction. APLS, San Juan.

Tallon, J., Daftary-Kapur, T., Monier, A., Rhead, L., Loughlin, M., Yip, J., Groscup, J., & Penrod, S. (March 2011). Understanding potential defendant responses to victim impact statements. AP-LS, Miami.

Rhead, L. M., Daftary-Kapur, T. & Penrod, S. (March 2011). The effects of pretrial publicity on jurors' story construction in a civil case. AP-LS, Miami, FL.

Crocker, C. & Penrod, S. (March 2011). The influence of eyewitness identification factors and legal factors on attorneys' plea bargaining decisions. AP-LS, Miami, FL.

Dumas, R., Dysart, J. Py J. & Penrod, S. (March 2011). Eyewitness identification strategies: Contribution of implicit personality theories and emotional expression. AP-LS, Miami, FL.

Wallace, B. & Penrod, S. (June 2010). Guessing, bias, and reliability in lineups. EAPL, Goteborg, Sweden.

Tallon, J.; Daftary-Kapur, T., Lindsey Rhead, L.; Jon Carbone, J.; Groscup, J.; & Penrod, S. (March 2010). Underlying Affective Processes in Mock Jurors' Use of Victim Impact Statements. AP-LS, Vancouver.

Rhead, L.; D'Antuono, D., Daftary-Kapur, T., Tallon, J. A., Penrod, S. (March 2010). The Death Penalty Attitudes Scale as a Moderator of Pre-trial Publicity. AP-LS, Vancouver.

Penrod, S. D. & Wallace, D. B (Nov 2009). Calculated guessing by eyewitnesses: Are those really correct IDs? Claremont Conference on Empirical Legal Studies, CA.

Daftary-Kapur, T., Tallon, J. A., Penrod, S. (Sept 2009). Assessing the Impact of New Research on the Formation of a Scientific Consensus Concerning Eyewitness Research Findings. EAPL, Sorrento, Italy.

Kim, M & Penrod, S. (May 2009). A Comparison of an Adversarial and an Inquisitorial Trial in South Korea: Judges vs. Juries. Law and Society, Denver.

Kim, M & Penrod, S. (May 2009). A Comparison of Legal Decisions between American and Korean Mock Jurors in an Adversarial and an Inquisitorial Trial. Law and Society, Denver.

Penrod, S. (March, 2009). Discussant: Plenary: Psychological Perspectives on Wrongful Conviction. AP-LS, San Antonio.

Kapur, T. D., Wallace, B., & Penrod, S. (March, 2009). The Influence of Pretrial Publicity: Field vs. Laboratory Effects. AP-LS, San Antonio.

Rhead, L. M., Andiloro, N. R., Carbone, J.m Kapur, T. D., & Penrod, S. (March, 2009). What Are They Saying?: The Effects of Pretrial Publicity on Jurors' Story and Jury Deliberations. AP-LS, San Antonio.

Alberts, W., Penrod, S., Wallace, B. & Duncan, J. (July 2008). Steering Witnesses in an Identification Procedure. EAPL, Maastricht.

Daftary-Kapur, T., Smith, K., Rhead, L., & S. Penrod, S. (July

2008). The influence of pre-trial publicity on juror decision making in a product liability case. EAPL, Maastricht.

Penrod, S. (March 2008). Issues and Advice for Expert Witnesses and Eyewitness Identification Researchers. AP-LS, Jacksonville, FL.

Smith, K. & Penrod, S. (March 2008). Field Survey of Juror Misconduct. AP-LS, Jacksonville, FL.

Hoy, C., Donovan, M., Daftary, T. & Penrod, S. (March 2008). The Influence of Pre-Trial Publicity and Legal Authoritarianism on Juror Decision Making. AP-LS, Jacksonville, FL.

Daftary, T, Smith, K. & Penrod, S. (March 2008). Influence of Predecisional Distortion and Bias on Juror Decision Making. AP-LS, Jacksonville, FL.

MAJOR DEPARTMENTAL AND UNIVERSITY COMMITTEES

John Jay-CUNY:

Organizing CUNY Internal Grants for Psychology 2010-2013

CUNY Doctoral Faculty Policy Committee 2007-

CUNY Faculty Senate Legal Affairs Committee 2007-2010

Director of Forensic Psychology PhD program 2007-2008

CUNY Faculty Advisory Committee for Research Foundation—2003- (Chair 2003-2005)

RFCUNY Board of Directors 2002-2006 2015-

Department Personnel and Budget 2005-2006

Faculty/Staff Resource Comm for New John Jay Building 2003

MacNamara Award Committee 2002-2003

Presidential Research Award Committee 2002-2003

Justice Scholarship Committee 2002-2004

Law- Psychology Admissions Committee 2004-

Criminal Justice Graduate Admissions/Financial Aid/Graduate Council 2002-2015.

TEACHING

2001-18 (CUNY): Rotation of Jury Decisionmaking; Eyewitness Reliability; Experimental Psychology and Law; Graduate Research Methods; Media, Psychology and Law

1995-01 (Nebraska): Law and Behavioral Sciences, Jury Decisionmaking, Amicus Brief Writing; Juries, Alternative Dispute Resolution, Law and Psychology, Grantwriting, Meta-analysis

1988-95 (Minnesota): Social Science and Law, Alternative Dispute Resolution, Negotiation Skills, Conflict Management, Social Psychology Graduate Pro-Seminar, Social Psychology Graduate Pro-Seminar, Methods of Meta- Analysis, Moot Court Supervision, Graduate Research Methods (Political Science Department), Social Science and Law, Psychology and Law (Psychology Department).

1979-1988 (Wisconsin): Research Methods in Social Psychology, Psychology and Law, Graduate Research Methods in Social Psychology, Social Psychology, Social Science and Law, Expert Witness Seminar, Evidence (University of Wisconsin Law School), Social Cognition, Introductory Psychology.

Ph.D. STUDENTS

Marlee Berman (2015)

Angela Yarbrough Jones (2015)

Min Kim (2009)

Tarika Daftary-Kapur (2009—with Maureen O'Connor) APA Division 41 Dissertation Prize and Cattell Dissertation award

Jennifer Tallon (2009—with Jennifer Groscup)

Kelloir Smith (2008)

Lisa Chrzanowski (2005—with Jennifer Groscup) APA Division 41 Dissertation Prize (2nd)

Jennifer Groscup (2002)-- APA Division 41 Dissertation Prize

Aletha Claussen-Schulz (2002)

Sena Garven (2002)

Kerri Dunn (2002)

Kevin O'Neil (2002)

Robert Ray (2001)

Marc Patry (2001)

Peter Le (1999)

Dennis Stolle (1998)—APA Division 41 Dissertation Prize (3rd)

Amy Otto (1994)

Brian Cutler (1987)--APA Division 41 Dissertation Prize

Larry Heuer (1986)--APA Division 9 (SPSSI) Dissertation Prize

Carol Krafka (1985)--APA Division 41 Dissertation Prize (2nd)

Daniel Linz (1984)--APA Division 41 Dissertation Prize and APA

Division 9 (SPSSI) Dissertation Prize;

Sarah Tanford (1983)--APA Division 41 Dissertation Prize

Marlowe Embree (1982)

POST-DOCTORAL ADVISEES

Yuhwa Han (2013-2014)

Rafaele Dumas (2007-2009)

Diane Sivasubramaniam (2005-2007)

Maria Hartwig (2005-2006)

Lisette Garcia (2003-2005)

Brad McAuliff, PhD (2000-02)—Cal St Northridge

Brian Bornstein, PhD (2000-02) —U of Nebraska

Greg Page, PhD (2000-02) (with Mario Scalora)—U of Pittsburgh-Bradford

Debra Lynn Kaplan, PhD (1999-01)—Arizona State

Theresa Doyon, PhD (1998- 2000) – Hewlett-Packard

Nancy Walker, PhD (1998-99) –Michigan State

Jennifer Devenport, PhD (1996-98) –Western Washington

Tom Hafemeister, JD , PhD (1996-98) –U of Virginia

Kwang Park, PhD (1995-96) (with Alan Tomkins)—Chungbuk National U--Korea

Maithilee Pathak, PhD (1995-97) (with Alan Tomkins)--Consulting

Christina Studebaker, PhD (1995-98) – Chicago School of Professional Psychology

MEMBERSHIPS

Association for Psychological Science--Fellow

American Psychology-Law Society (Newsletter Ed. 1984-87--Secy/Treas. 1987-1990, President 2000-2001)

American Sociological Association

European Association of Psychology and Law

International Association for Applied Psychology

Law & Society Association

Psychonomic Society

International Association of Conflict Management

Society of Experimental Social Psychology

Society for Applied Research in Memory and Cognition

Society for the Psychological Study of Social Issues (Fellow)

Kidder Award Committee (2000-2002)

EDITORIAL BOARD/EXTERNAL POSITIONS

APA Committee on Legal Issues 1999-2000

Applied Cognitive Psychology 1997-2004

Basic and Applied Social Psychology 1998-2002

Forensic Reports 1988-1992

Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology 1991-1994

Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice 2001-
Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 1990-1996
Law and Human Behavior (APA Div. 41 Publication) 1985-2006
Law, Probability and Risk 2000-
Legal and Criminological Psychology 1994-
Psychology, Crime, and Law [receiving editor] 1992-2006
Psychology, Public Policy and Law [editor-2007-2008]
Social Behaviour: Int'l J. of Applied Social Psych 1987- 1991
Social Justice Research 2004-
SSRN Law and Psychology Journal 2006-

REVIEWING

American Bar Foundation Research Journal
American Journal of Psychology
American Judicature Society
American Psychologist
APA Annual Meetings
APA Newman Awards
AP-LS Meetings
Applied Cognitive Psychology
Basic and Applied Social Psychology
European Journal of Social Psychology
Forensic Reports
Health Psychology

Journal of Applied Psychology
Journal of Applied Social Psychology
Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology
Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied
Journal of Personality
Journal of Personality & Social Psychology
Journal of Research in Personality
Journal of Social Issues
Law and Human Behavior
Law and Policy
Law & Society Review
Legal and Criminological Psychology
MPA Meetings
National Institute of Justice--Study Section and external reviewer
National Institute of Mental Health—internal/external reviewer
National Science Foundation
Personality & Social Psychology Bulletin
Psychological Bulletin
Psychology, Crime, and Law
Psychology, Public Policy and Law
Social Behaviour
Social Psychology Quarterly
SSRN Law and Psychology Journal
NSF Panel External Reviews: Measurement Methods, Political
Science, Law and Social Sciences, Social Developmental